

54

87-101-1 17/458168

BUILDING MATERIAL WITH PROTECTION FROM INSECTS, MOLDS, AND FUNGI

uciz

### Background of the Invention

#### 1. Field of the Invention

- 5 The present invention is directed to laminated building
- 6 panels with protection from insects, molds, and fungi. More
- 7 particularly, the present invention is directed to building
- 8 panels comprising a sandwich of two skins bonded to a core of a
- 9 firm solid insulating material, or the insulating material
- 10 itself, that is protected against attack by many types of
- 11 insects, molds, and fungi.

### 12 2. Description of the Related Art

- 13 Pests such as termites, carpenter ants, insects, and so
- 14 forth have long been a scourge of wooden buildings. Extensive
- 15 efforts to control insect infestation of buildings have been
- 16 undertaken. Many chemical preparations for exterior application
- 17 to a building or foundation have been developed. Many of these
- 18 chemicals are also hazardous to pets and humans and have
- 19 consequently been banned.
- 20 For example, creosote has long been used to
- 21 preserve wood and is now unavailable for residential use because
- 22 creosote is carcinogenic.
- 23 Copper-chrome-arsenate (CCA) solutions have long been
- 24 used to treat wood under high pressure and comprise the majority
- 25 of treated wood now available. CCA treated wood is, however,
- 26 highly insecticidal and fungicidal and must be handled with
- 27 considerable extraordinary care.
- One such effort to develop a preservative to deter
- 29 insect and vermin infestation is found in U. S. Patent No.

- 1 2,186,134, issued to Chapman on January 9, 1940. Chapman
- 2 discloses the use of a preservative composition comprising a
- 3 halogenated phenol and an alkali metal salt of boric acid in an
- 4 aqueous solution which is applied to fiber based building
- 5 materials such as fiberboard, insulation made of paper and so
- 6 forth. Engineered materials unknown to Chapman now comprise an
- 7 important portion of the available range of building materials.
- 8 One such example is the prefabricated insulated building panels
- 9 comprising a core of expanded polystyrene (EPS), which may be
- $10^{11}$  about 3 1/2 11 1/2 inches (8.9 40 cm) thick, sandwiched
  - 11 between two wood-based sheets or other skins, such as metal. The
  - 12 panels may be in any convenient size, with the most popular size
- $rac{4}{3}$  being about 4 feet by 8 feet (1.2 m x 2.4 m). The wood-based
  - 14 panels, may be tempered hardboard, chipboard, particleboard,
  - 15 oriented strand board, and the like. The two skins are each
- 6416 typically at least 3/8 inch (0.95 cm) thick when the panels are
  - 17 intended to form load bearing walls. The panels are adhesively
  - 18 bonded by a urethane laminating adhesive. Such prefabricated
  - 19 building panels have become extremely popular because they
  - 20 provide high insulation value, and virtually eliminate drafts
  - 21 through the roofs, walls and floors. They provide economical
  - 22 alternatives to stick-built structures in part by reducing the
  - 23 framing time of the construction of a new home or other buildings
  - 24 by about two-thirds.
  - 25 It has been found, however, that in some situations
  - 26 such panels may be attacked by insects, molds, or fungus.
  - 27 Insects may bore through the oriented strand board or waferboard
  - 28 into the expanded polystyrene core (EPS), where they may nest.
  - 29 The environment within the core of the building panel provides
  - 30 good living conditions for most insects since it is usually warm

- 1 during the winter, cool during summer and non-toxic.
- 2 Conventional chemical treatments may be applied to prevent such
- 3 attacks in the same fashion as they are applied to other
- 4 wood-based structures. Regular application of such chemicals,
- 5 however, is expensive and inconvenient. In addition, such
- 6 chemicals may well be significantly toxic to humans and pets.
- 7 Accordingly, there is a need for an energy efficient
- 8 laminated building panel that resists attack by insects, mold and
- 9 fungus.

10

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

- 11 Accordingly, it is a primary object of the present
- 12 invention to provide an energy efficient laminated building panel
- 13 that resists attack by insects, mold and fungus.
- 14 These and other objects of the present invention are
- 15 achieved by providing a building panel comprising at least two
- 16 spaced-apart lamination skins, such as wood-based skins and a
- 17 core of firm, solid insulating material laminated between the
- 18 skins. The sandwich is held together by suitable adhesives, such
- 19 as a urethane laminating adhesive. A chemical composition of
- 20 matter having insecticidal and fungicidal properties without
- 21 major toxicological problems is diffused through and permeates at
- 22 least a portion of the skins and core. Alternatively, the core,
- 23 which is formed prior to fabrication of the building panel, may
- 24 be made from polystyrene beads mixed with a suitable chemical
- 25 prior to expansion into a solid core by conventional means. The
- 26 treated core may then be laminated with separately treated skins.
- 27 In an alternative environment, EPS so treated, whether formed
- 28 into blocks or loose fill, finds many construction and insulating
- 29 applications as a separate building material without any
- 30 lamination to skins or other material.

- 1 Preferably the insecticidal and fungicidal chemical
- 2 will be highly insecticidal and fungicidal to many types of
- 3 insects, mold and fungus, but will not be significantly
- 4 insecticidal and fungicidal to pets, other large animals, or
- 5 humans. In addition, the chemical should not migrate, but remain
- 6 in the building panel or EPS indefinitely. It has been found
- 7 that certain borates meet these criteria. More particularly,
- 8 disodium octaborate tetrahydrate embodies these desirable
- 9 characteristics and is the preferred primary chemical for
- 10 treating building panels or EPS according to the present
- 11 invention.
- 12 The panel skins may be wood-based and may comprise
- 13 plywood, wafer board, particleboard, tempered hardboard, and the
- 14 like. In the preferred embodiment, oriented strand board is
- 15 employed for the skin because it is highly resistant to warping
- 16 and exhibits good compression strength.
- 17 The core of the building panel consists of a rigid firm
- 18 foam insulating material, with the preferred material comprising
- 19 expanded polystyrene, or other foam.
- 20 Several processes for making a building panel protected
- 21 against insect pests have been developed. Perhaps most effective
- 22 is mixing the borate having insecticidal and fungicidal
- 23 properties with the polystyrene from the plastics manufacturer
- 24 prior to expanding the polystyrene and borate mixture into a mold
- 25 to produce the expanded polystyrene. The molded EPS, now
- 26 protected against many types of insects, molds and fungi, can be
- 27 put to many uses. For example, the EPS blocks may be used as
- 28 perimeter insulation and underslab insulation to stop or reduce
- 29 energy loss at the foundation and slab of a building, such as a
- 30 house. The expanded EPS, now treated for protection against

- l insects, molds, and fungi, is stable and has a very low moisture
- 2 gain. It is available in a variety of densities for higher
- 3 insulation value and compression resistance. The treated EPS may
- 4 also be used for exterior sheathing to create an energy loss
- 5 barrier for any frame type construction in both new and rehab
- 6 applications. EPS can be used for cavity fill and may be
- 7 manufactured in the desired sizes prior to treatment, or cut to
- 8 size in the field and given a supplemental field treatment prior
- 9 to installation or filling cavities such as spaces between
- 10 joists. The treated EPS also serves as an excellent siding
- 11 backer, eave vents, frame fill, and drywall backer. In all these
- 12 applications, the treated EPS increases the energy efficiency and
- 13 sound absorption characteristics of the building, as well as
- 14 reducing significantly the threat of infestation by insects,
- 15 molds, or fungi. In the aforementioned uses, the EPS is in the
- 16 form of a firm, solid block of EPS. In alternative embodiments,
- 17 however, the EPS may be in the form of peanut-shaped individual
- 18 pellets, loose expanded beads, or other forms of loose fill
- 19 material. Alternatively, the treated EPS can be laminated
- 20 between two skins to form building panels, the skins may be
- 21 metal, plastic, wood and the like. The skins may have been
- 22 previously treated by the borate, or may be treated after the
- 23 panel has been laminated.
- In an other process, the entire building panel is
- 25 constructed and then treated with a borate having insecticidal
- 26 and fungicidal properties. The panel may be treated by dipping
- 27 or immersing it into an aqueous solution of the borate, by
- 28 spraying the solution onto the panel, e.g., by passing the panel
- 29 through a spray tunnel, by pressure treatment, by the hot and
- 30 cold bath process, or other methods.

- In alternative embodiment of the process, the core is
- 2 formed of expanded polystyrene and then is treated according to
- 3 one of the methods described immediately above. The skins are
- 4 treated separately according to one of the methods cited above.
- 5 The skins may be treated in a different time and a different
- 6 place from the core, but after both are separately treated they
- 7 are united by laminating the core between at least two skins and
- 8 bonding the unit with a suitable adhesive, such as a urethane
- 9 laminating adhesive, to form the building panel.
- 10 Other objects and advantages of this invention will
- 11 become apparent from the following description taken in
- 12 conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein is set forth
- 13 by way of illustration and example, the preferred embodiments of
- 14 this invention.

### Brief Description of the Drawings

- 16 Fig. 1 is a perspective view of a building panel
- 17 according to the present invention.
- 18 Fig. 2 is an end elevation of the building panel of
- 19 Fig. 1.

C 50

**2**0

### Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

- 21 As required by the statutes and case law, a detailed
- 22 'embodiment of the present invention is disclosed herein. It is
- 23 to be understood, however, that the disclosed embodiment is
- 24 merely exemplary of the invention, which may be embodied in many
- 25 various forms. Therefore, the specific structural and functional
- 26 details of the invention as disclosed herein are not to be
- 27 interpreted as limiting, but merely as a basis for the claims and
- 28 as a representative basis for teaching one skilled in the art to
- 29 variously employ the present invention in virtually any
- 30 appropriately detailed structure.

- 1 Referring to Fig. 1, the laminated building panel with
- 2 protection from insects, molds, and fungi 10 disclosed herein
- comprises a central the core 14 of 3 1/2 11 1/2 inches (8.9 -
  - $\frac{1}{2}\cdot 4$  40 cm) of a firm solid insulating material, <u>e.g.</u>, such expanded
    - 5 polystyrene foam, or other foam material, which may be a chemical
    - 6 foam material. The core 14 is laminated between at least two
    - 7 skins 12, which are preferably wood-based skins of waferboard or
    - 8 oriented strand board, although they may also be chipboard,
    - 9 particleboard, tempered hardboard, and the like. In the
    - 10 preferred embodiment, the core is of a solid rectangular shape
    - 11 and two skins are employed, one on each of large flat surface of
    - 12 the core. The skins are bonded to the core by an adhesive 16,
    - 13 such as a urethane laminating adhesive.
    - 14 The panel 10 is treated with a chemical highly
    - 15 insecticidal and fungicidal to many insects, molds and fungi but
    - 16 not significantly toxic to household pets, other large animals,
    - 17 and human beings to prevent insects from boring through the skins
    - 18 and nesting in the core, from which they may migrate into the
    - 19 structure. It has been found that certain borates, particularly
    - 20 a sodium borate, meet these criteria, and in particular disodium
    - 21 octaborate tetrahydrate has been found useful in such
    - 22 applications. Sodium borates kill many pests in addition to
    - 23 discouraging them from attacking the treated building panels 10.
    - 24 The panels may be treated with disodium octaborate
    - 25 tetrahydrate and related chemicals either prior to or following
    - 26 construction of the materials into a panel. A variety of
    - 27 processes may be employed as described below.
    - 28 A. Treatment Chemicals.
    - In general, building materials such as the panels 10 or
    - 30 plain EPS may be treated by any of a number of processes, all or

- any of which may be used to treat the materials of the building
- 2 panels 10 or the EPS either prior to or following lamination.
- 3 The preferred embodiment of the treatment chemicals and the
- 4 various preferred processes are described.
- 5 Borates are either salts or esters of boron. In
- 6 particular, disodium octaborate tetrahydrate ( $Na_2B_8O_{13}^4H_2O$ ) which
- 7 may have a typical chemical analysis of sodium oxide (Na<sub>2</sub>O) 14.7
- $\frac{1}{1}$  8 percent; boric oxide ( $B_2O_3$ ) 67.1 percent; and water of
- 9 crystallization (H<sub>2</sub>O) 18.2 percent is particularly effective in
- 10 eliminating the threat of damage from many types of pests notably
- 11 many boring insects. The disodium octaborate tetrahydrate may
- 12 comprise 99.4 percent of the total chemical content of the
- 13 treatment chemical, with impurities and other inert ingredients
- 14 comprising the remaining 0.6 percent of the treatment chemical.
- 15 The minimum borate oxide  $(B_2O_3)$  content of the treatment chemical
- 16 should be in a range from about 50 percent to about 70 percent,
- . 17 with the optimal proportion being about 66.1 percent. A
  - 18 preservative so constituted is not considered harmful to human
- 19 beings or livestock. It can be handled by workmen without the
- 20 need to observe any special precautions. Further, there is no
- 21 danger to health in preparation or use of solutions of the
- 22 treatment chemical or in the handling and milling of construction
- 23 materials treated with this treatment chemical. The treatment
- 24 chemical has no objectionable odor and has nearly a neutral pH
- 25 factor. In timber, the salt retention is about 0.3 pounds per
- 26 cubic foot, which is very low compared with the total weight of
- 27 the timber. In foam, the salt retention rate may be somewhat
- 28 less. The solution of the treatment chemical water is
- 29 non-corrosive to ferrous metals, but may attack aluminum. The

- 1 treatment chemical has no effect on plastics, cements, rubber,
- 2 putties, bituminous solutions, mastics or other sealants.
- 3 Diffusion of the treatment chemical throughout wood, in
- 4 particular, timber, depends on a number of characteristics,
- 5 including the moisture content of the material, the concentration
- and temperature of the treating solution, the curing and
- 7 diffusion storage conditions, and so forth. Most important among
- 8 these factors for timber is the moisture content. A moisture
- 9 content of wood or timber of greater than 40 percent based on
- 10 oven dry wood weight is recommended for complete diffusion. This
- 11 is because the primary vehicle for diffusing the treatment
- 12 chemicals throughout the lumber is osmosis, which causes the
- 13 salts to become equally concentrated throughout the wood by
- 14 passage of the solvent, i.e., the water, of the less concentrated
- 15 solution through the membranes of the timber toward the more
- 16 concentrated solution. Timber and wood, being cellulose designed
- 17 for drawing moisture, are highly amenable to chemical treatment
- 18 by aqueous solutions, because osmosis will distribute the
- 19 treatment chemicals.
- 20 EPS is comprised of essentially noncommunicating
- 21 air-filled cells, not the long grain structure that allows timber
- 22 to draw moisture. The actual EPS is a non-porous and has no cell
- 23 wall or membrane for diffusing chemicals through osmosis.
- 24 Accordingly, the diffusion mechanism used for treating wood is
- 25 unavailable for treating EPS.
- 26 A molded EPS, however, has a multitude of small
- 27 interstitial spaces. It is believed that these interstitial
- 28 spaces allow for the capillary uptake of the treatment solution
- 29 by the EPS and that complete diffusion throughout the EPS block
- 30 or the core 14 can be attained. It has also been found, however,

- 1 that complete diffusion is not required for good preservative
- 2 protection in the building panels 10 or the EPS because few, if
- 3 any, field cuts that would expose untreated cross sections of the
- 4 building panels 10 or the EPS are made. When such field cuts are
- 5 made, such as for windows, the exposed cross sections can be
- 6 field treated and then can be covered with other material, such
- 7 as headers, jams, sills, and so forth, which may be of treated
- 8 building material if desired.
- 9 Diffusion of the treatment chemicals throughout all or
- 10 a portion of the skins 12 or the core 14 of a building panel 10,
- 11 or of an EPS building material can be effected through a number
- 12 of processes for application of the treatment chemicals, some of
- 13 which are discussed below, with the treatment steps being clearly
- 14 set forth.
- 15 B. Treatment Processes.
- 1. In the momentary immersion process, the building
- 17 material is immersed in a solution of the treatment chemical and
- 18 water for a period of 2-5 minutes. After this soaking, the panel
  - 19 is tilted so that excess preservative can be drained off. Then
  - 20 the moist panel, or other construction materials, are moved to a
  - 21 storage area to allow the diffusion process to proceed. A
  - 22 plurality of building panels 10 may be stacked with suitable
  - 23 spacers between the panels prior to dipping if desired
  - 24 (stickering).
- 2. In the <u>spray tunnel process</u>, individual building
  - 26 panels 10, or cores 14, are passed on rollers through a tunnel
  - 27 fitted with jets or a broad fan nozzle. Hot concentrated
  - 28 treatment aqueous solution is pumped from a tank through the
  - 29 piping and sprayed onto the building panel 10 or the the EPS, or
  - 30 the core 14. The treatment solution that falls to the bottom of

- 1 the tank is collected and recirculated. It is run through a
- 2 filtering system to remove sawdust and other suspended material
- 3 from the circulating solution. For treatment of many laminated
- 4 building panels 10, a concentration of 150-350 pounds (68 169
  - 5 kg) of treatment chemical per 100 gallons (377 l) of solution at
  - 6 120°F 140°F (60° 67°C) is recommended to maximize the
  - 7 penetration of the treatment chemicals into the building panel
  - 8 10. Lesser concentrations may result in adequate protection.
- In yet another method, the spray treatment process,
- 10 the treatment chemical can be sprayed onto the building panel 10
- , 11' at a temperature of approximately 100°F (56°C) with an electric
  - 12 or pneumatically driven pump sprayer. Proper storage for
  - 13 diffusion allows adequate protection with this method.
  - 14 Following coating of the building panels 10, or other
  - 15 building materials, such as the EPS, the core 14 or the skins 12,
  - 16 the treated materials are stacked and can be covered with
  - 17 polyethylene sheeting or other vapor barrier, if desired, to
  - 18 reduce or eliminate air movement around and within the stack of
  - 19 materials and provide an improvous barrier to rain water. The
  - 20 polyethylene sheeting, if used, slows evaporation and allows the
  - 21 diffusion of the treatment chemical into the building materials
  - 22 to continue. If the wet panels 10 are covered with a vapor
  - 23 barrier for more than about 2 days, however, they may warp.
- 4. In the pressure treatment method, it is possible to
  - 25 use the treatment chemical with a conventional pressure treatment
  - 26 method commonly associated with preservatives such as creosote
  - 27 and copper-crome-arsenate (CCA) solutions. The precise
  - 28 parameters of the pressure treatment system will be determined by
  - 29 the characteristics of the building material being treated, but
  - 30 should result in a retention of about 0.3 pounds per cubic foot

- 1 (4.8 kg. per cubic meter) of the treatment chemical in the assay
- 2 zone. The concentration of the treatment solution must be
- 3 adjusted to give the correct retention. It is noted, however,
- 4 that solutions are in the range of 1-2 percent (0.1-0.2 pounds
- 5 per g/l)(12-24 gallon). For pressure treatment, the building
- 6 material should be dried to less than 25 percent moisture as oven
- 7 dry weight and stickered prior to treatment.
- 8 The processes described above in numbered
- 9 paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 4 can be applied to the completed
- 10 building panel 10, or to the skins 12, to plain EPS, or the core
- 11 14 separately. The flexibility in applications of the processes
- 12 allows for the use of pre-treated skins 12, which naturally may
- 13 be provided by an outside vendor or prepared during the process
- 14 of manufacturing the entire panels.
- When the entire laminated panel 10 is treated after its
- 16 manufacture, it must be stored for curing in a fully supported
- 17 flat shape. A minimum curing period of at least 1 day, during
  - 18 which the material need not be covered with a vapor barrier, is
  - 19 required to assure lamination. Preferably, a plurality of the
  - 20 panels 10 is wet stacked, and allowed to cure for 3 days.
  - 21 If the skins 12 and the EPS, or the core 14 are treated
  - 22 separately, another embodiment of the treatment process is
  - 23 preferred. The skins 12 are to be treated as described above.
  - 24 The EPS, or the core 14 may be treated as described above or the
  - 25 treatment chemicals may be incorporated in a dry powder form into
  - 26 the core during its formation.
- 5. The <u>simultaneous</u> formation of expanded polystyrene
  - 28 with a sodium borate preservative process. Expanding polystyrene
  - 29 is a well known art. Polystyrene is commercially available in
  - 30 small, irregular beads. Expanded polystyrene is made by pouring

- 1 the polystyrene beads into a hopper, from which they fall by the
- 2 force of gravity into a heating chamber where they are heated
- 3 with steam and forced along an air conveyor while subject to the
- 4 heat and moisture of the steam, which causes the beads of
- 5 polystyrene to expand. The beads expand continuously throughout
- 6 their travel along the air conveyor and into the mold, or blank.
- 7 The polystyrene beads become hot and tacky and, as they cool,
- 8 they adhere to one another. Only sufficient pressure to cause
- 9 the expanded polystyrene beads to stick together is applied. Too
- 10 much pressure would crush the foam beads and reduce their
- ll' insulating value. Expanded polystyrene can be formed in molds to
- 12 any convenient size, such as 4 feet by 8 feet by 5 inches (1.2 x
- ...13 2.4 x .127 m), which is a useful size in the present application.
  - 14 In the preferred embodiment for separately treated skins 12 and
  - 15 cores 14, the treatment chemicals, consisting primarily of
  - 16 disodium octaborate tetrahydrate in powdered form, are added to
  - 17 the polystyrene beads and the two ingredients are mixed together
  - 18 prior to expansion of the polystyrene beads by the steam. In
  - 19 this process, the treatment chemicals are added to the
  - 20 polystyrene beads in sufficient concentration to allow a
  - 21 retention of the treatment chemical of 0.3 pounds per cubic foot
  - 22 (4.8 kg. per cubic meter). In a typical sheet of the EPS, or the
  - 23 core 14 material having the dimensions 4 feet by 8 feet by 5
- $5^{2}$  24 inches (1.2 x 2.4 x .127 m), treatment chemicals would be added
- $\pm \dot{q}$  25 in the range of about 3.0-4.1 pounds (1.77 1.8 kg), with an
  - 26 ideal amount, assuming thorough mixing and the goal of providing
  - 27 a largely homogenous dispersion of the treatment chemical
  - 28 throughout the EPS, or the core 14 in the desired high
- 3 29 concentration, of about 3.9 pounds (1.77 kg). EPS is
- 30 conventionally manufactured in thicknesses up to about 2 feet

- 1 (0.6 m). To obtain the desired extent of penetration into such
- 2 blocks, it may be desired to subject the EPS to pressures greater
- 3 than 1 atmosphere, and to continue the treatment for a greater
  - 4 time than with thinner blocks of EPS. For equal degrees at
  - 5 penetration and protection, the desired ratios of the treatment
  - 6 chemicals to the volume of EPS being treated remain the same.
  - Following such treatment, the EPS, or the core 14, now
  - 8 infused with treatment chemicals is allowed to cool, is removed
  - 9 from the mold stored fully supported and flat, and allowed to
- $\sqrt[5]{10}$  cure for at least 1 day, preferably 3. Curing times and
  - 11 temperatures may be adjusted as desired in accordance with
  - 12 schedules set forth previously. Aging in ambient indoor
  - 13 conditions to promote slow dehydration is preferred. When the
  - 14 the EPS, or the core 14 is satisfactorily cured. Then the
  - 15 building panel 10 can be fabricated as described above, by
  - 16 laminating the two skins 12 on either side of the EPS core 14
  - 17 with a suitable adhesive, such as a urethane laminating adhesive,
  - 18 or in general construction uses.
- 19 C. Testing the Penetration of the Treatment Chemicals.
- The penetration of the treatment chemicals into the
- 21 building panels 10 and EPS can be checked by a chemical test
- 22 applied to a cross section of the treated material. The testing
- 1 23 procedure requires cutting thin cross section about 1/4 to 1 inch
  - (.63 2.54 cm) thick (15 cm) from the end of the treated
    - 25 material with a fine-tooth saw. The section is then dried in an
- $\frac{k}{2}$  /26 oven at a temperature not to exceed 140°F (60°C) for 2-3 hours.
  - 27 Then a 10 percent alcoholic extract of curcumin is applied in a
  - 28 fine uniform spray to the sample and allowed to dry. Then a
  - 29 solution of 6.0 grams salicylic acid in 20 ml of concentrated
  - 30 hydrochloric acid and then diluted to 100 ml with ethanol is

- 1 similarly sprayed onto the sample and allowed to dry a few
- 2 minutes. Any resulting color changes in the sample should be
- 3 observed and assessed 10-15 minutes after application of the
- $^{ ext{t}}$  second solution. The color graduation from the surface of the
- 5 sample to the center of the cross section of the sample indicates
- 6 the extent of penetration of the treatment chemicals. The color
- 7 turns red where the treatment chemicals are present.
- 8 Quantitative analysis can also be carried out to
- 9 determine the amount of treatment chemicals that have become
- 10 embedded in the treated building materials, if desired.

#### D. Tests of Effectiveness.

- Numerous independent tests of the effectiveness of the
- 13 treatment methods described above have been conducted on samples
- 14 of the building panels 10. They are described below.

# 15 EXAMPLE ONE

- The purpose of this test was to monitor the effective
  - 17 penetration of various solutions of a sodium borate, namely
  - 18 disodium octaborate tetrahydrate in a post lamination application
  - 19 to the building panels by utilizing the colorimetric test
- 6 described above. Eight samples 6 inches by 6 inches by 4 1/2
  - 21 inches (15 x 15 x 11.5 cm) of the building panels were obtained
    - 22 including a 3 5/8 inch (92 cm) expanded polystyrene core with
    - 23 7/16 inch (1.11 cm) skins. Other core thicknesses and skin
    - 24 thicknesses may be substituted if desired. Two solutions of the
  - 25 treatment chemicals were prepared, the first consisting of 3.2
  - 26 pounds (1.45 kg) of the treatment chemicals to 1 gallon (3.77 1)
  - 27 of hot water (130°F; 72°C) and the second solution of 1.8 pounds
  - 28 (5.5 kg) of the treatment chemicals to 1 gallon of hot water
  - 29 (130°F) (72°C). The solutions were separately mixed thoroughly

30

- 1 and placed in separate labeled hand-held pump sprayers. Sprayers
- 2 were immersed into a hot water (130°F; 72°C) bath until use.
- 3 Each solution was vigorously shaken for 1 minute
- 4 immediately prior to spraying all sides of the four labeled
- 5 samples. The solution was applied in sufficient quantity that
- ·6 the solution ran off the samples. The wetted samples were placed
- 7 on a drying rack for 1 week at ambient drying conditions. After
- $^{-1}$  8 drying, the panels were dissected to obtain cross sections of 1/4
  - 9 inch (.635 cm), 1/2 inch( 1.27 cm), and 1 inch (2.54 cm) in
    - 10 thickness, using a fine bladed saw. They were tested according
- 11 to the colorimetric described above, with a 10 percent alcoholic
  - 12 extractive curcumin solution and allowed to dry. Then a fine
  - 13 mist of a solution of 6.0 grams salicylic acid and 20 ml.
  - 14 concentrated HCl diluted to 100 ml. with ethanol was sprayed onto
  - 15 the samples and the color of the samples 10 minutes, 15 minutes,
  - 16 and 30 minutes after treatment were recorded. These tests showed
  - 17 a deep red color on the interior face of all cross sections. The
  - 18 red color was noted on both the core 14 and the skins, indicating
  - 19 penetration and diffusion of the sodium borate throughout the
  - 20 entire building panel 10.
  - 21 EXAMPLE TWO
- Six samples having to dimensions of 6 inches by 6
- 1.623% inches by 4 1/2 inches (15 x 15 x 11.5 cm), and including a 3 5/8
  - 24 inch (9.2 cm) expanded polystyrene core and 7/16 inch (1.11 cm)
  - 25 wafer board skins on both sides of the core was sprayed using a
  - 26 hand-held pump sprayer with a single solution of 2 pounds (.90
  - 27 kg) of treatment chemicals dissolved in 1 gallon (3.77 l) of
  - 28 water at 130°F (72°C). The pump sprayer containing the solution
  - 29 was placed in a hot water bath (130°F; 72°C). Prior to spray
  - 30 application, the solution was vigorously agitated for 1 minute to

- 1 maximize dissolution of the treatment chemicals and hold any
- 2 undissolved treatment chemicals in suspension. The application
- $\frac{2}{100}$  rate was approximately 200-250 square feet per gallon (4.9-6.1
  - ·4 square meters per liter). Three of the samples were treated in
  - 5 this matter and were subjected to native Eastern subterranean
  - 6 termites, Reticulitermes flavipes (Kollar). The termites were
  - 7 killed very quickly in the test, which was conducted as follows:
- 8 A 1,600 gram layer of moist sand and vermiculite
  - 9 mixture was placed in each of six 5 gallon (18.55 l) lard
  - 10 containers with lids as a substrate for termites. The
- 11 approximate mixture of the substrate was: 363 grams vermiculite;
  - 12 3,584 grams of sand, and 1,670 grams water (that is, 3 parts of
  - 13 sand to 3 parts of vermiculite by volume). A brick sterilized by
  - 14 heating in an oven was placed in each substrate layer to support
  - 15 the panel sample above the moist substrate.
- lé Counts of termites in the three 1 gram samples of
  - 17 termites averaged 691 termites, therefore 14.4 grams (4,975 live
  - 18 termites) of Reticulitermes flavipes termites of mixed castes
- \$ 19 were placed in each can on March 24, 1989 after collection two
  - 20 days earlier from naturally infested dead southern pine logs.
- The exposure was ended on April 7, 1989 because all the
  - 22 termites in the treated building panels 10 were dead. It further
  - 23 appeared that these termites had been dead after only one week of
  - 24 exposure to the treated building panel samples. Closer
  - 25 examination revealed that 3 prealates (adult termites), 11
  - 26 soldiers; 33 prealates, and 3 soldiers and 16 prealates, survived
  - 27 in the respective three samples. All survivors are non-feeding
  - 28 forms of termites that apparently did not receive sufficient
  - 29 insecticidal and fungicidal before all the workers died. These
  - 30 forms of termites will die from starvation in the absence of

1.

- 1 workers. At the same time, the termites exposed to the untreated
- 2 panels remained healthy.
- 3 Thirty-two samples of building control panels measuring
- $\frac{1}{3}$  4312 inches by 12 inches by 4 1/2 inches (30.5 x 30.5 x 11.4 cm)
- $6.3^{\circ}$  5 and including a 3 5/8 inch (9.2 cm) expanded polystyrene core
  - 6 laminated to 7/16 inch (1.11 cm) wafer board skins and including
  - 7 a horizontal electrical chase and a vertical electrical chase,
- 14% 8 both measuring 1 1/2 inches (3.8 cm) in diameter located in the
  - 9 center of the samples was treated as described in example two
  - 10 above, with the spray being applied by a common hand-held garden
  - 11 sprayer.
  - These samples were subjected to two large colonies each
- 13 of Camponotus modoc and C. vininus (carpenter ants) collected in
  - 14 the wild in the Moscow mountains near Viola, Idaho and were
  - 15 placed in garbage cans with a rim lining of petroleum jelly mixed
  - 16 with mineral oil to prevent escape. Sets of five treated or
  - 17 untreated sample panels were placed in each of the four carpenter
  - 18 ant colonies. Water and honey dishes were placed on the top
  - 19 panels of the cans. The panels were observed at intervals to
  - 20 determine the rate of ant chewing and death due to the treatment.
- On August 18, 1989 the ants were placed with the
  - 22 panels. By the next day the C. modoc colony had started to chew
    - 23 into the polystyrene cores of the control panels, i.e., the
- 24 untreated panels. On August 28, the C. vininus had started
  - 25 chewing into the control panels and the  $\underline{\text{C. modoc}}$  had excavated
  - 26 more tunnels. No chewing occurred in either of the panel sets
  - 27 that had been treated with the treatment chemicals, and dead ants
- 28 were seen on the bottoms of the garbage cans. On September 4,
- \_\_\_29 about 95 percent of the <u>C. modoc</u> in the treated panels were dead,
- 230 with no chewing on the polystyrene. About 70 percent of the C.

- l vininus were also dead without any chewing. All but a few of the
- 2  $\underline{C. modoc}$  and the  $\underline{C. vininus}$  with the treated panels were dead by
- 3 September 10. Conversely, the untreated panels were heavily
- '4 burrowed by the C. modoc, although not by the C. vininus. In
- 5 conclusion, the treatment was effective and worked fairly
- 6 quickly, and seems to have deterred the ants from chewing as well
- 7 as killing them.

## EXAMPLE THREE

- The purpose of this example was to monitor the effect,
- 10 if any, of the chemical treatments described herein on the bond
- 11 strength of the treated building panels by treating them with a
- 12 sodium borate, such as disodium octaborate tetrahydrate and then
- 13 using the AFM Tension Test. In particular, three samples of
- 90% 14 building panel having dimensions 6 inches by 6 inches by 4 1/2
- $15^{\circ}$  inches (15 x 15 x 11.5 cm), including a 3 5/8 inch (9.2 cm)
- 16 expand of polystyrene core with 7/16 inch (1.11 cm) oriented
  - 17 strand board (OSB) skins secured by a suitable urethane
  - 18 laminating adhesive were treated with the treatment chemicals. A
  - 19 solution of 2 pounds (.90 kg) of treatment chemicals to 1 gallon
    - 20 (3.77 1) of hot water (130°F; 72°C) was prepared and thoroughly
    - 21 mixed and poured into a hand-held pump sprayer. The hot solution
    - 22 was applied directly via spray to all sides of the three samples
    - 23 in sufficient quantity that the solution ran off the samples.
    - 24 The wetted samples were placed on a drying rack for one week in
    - 25 abient conditions. Then they were placed in standard cardboard
    - 26 containers and allowed further aging for six months in an abient
    - 27 conditions. After six months of ambient storage they were
    - 28 subjected to a standard AFM R-Control Quality Control tension
    - 29 test, similar to a standard ASTM C-297. The tension test gauge

- 1 readings were documented and the actual bond strength calculated.
- ? The samples determined in this test were:

	Gauge Reading	Tensile Strength
Sample #1	404 PSIG	23.6 lbs/in $^2$
Sample #2	385 PSIG	22.5 lbs/in2
Sample #3	385 PSIG	22.5 lbs/in <sup>2</sup>
	Sample #2	Sample #1 404 PSIG Sample #2 385 PSIG

- 6 These tensile strengths are the same as those of
- 7 untreated building panels 10. Accordingly, it appears that the
- 8 treatment regimen described in this example has no deleterious
- 9 effects on the bonds between the waferboard, or skins, and the
- 10 core of the building panel 10 that was present at the time of
- 11 panel construction.
- 12 In operation, it is important that the treated building
- 13 panels 10 not be exposed to excess moisture, or rain, after
- 14 treatment. During transportation and storage of the building
- 15 panels 10 therefore, they must be kept out of the rain to protect
- 16 the chemicals. Building codes almost always require the skins 12
- 17 to be covered with another layer of building material. Interior
- 18 walls must be covered with a 15 minute thermal index material,
  - 19 such as gypsum board, to meet fire code requirements. Exterior
  - 20 walls must be covered with sheathing or cladding, such as
  - 21 clapboards to meet building codes. The cladding or wallboard is
  - 22 then finished as desired by the consumer. These interior and
  - 23 exterior cladding materials protect the treated building panels
  - 24 10 from rain and other elements. When the building panels 10 are
  - 25 kept dry by cladding or other means, the treatment is permanent,
  - 26 protecting the building panels 10 from many types of insects,
  - 27 molds, and fungi for the life of the structure.
  - While certain forms of this invention have been
  - 29 illustrated and described herein, the invention is not limited